

Letter from James DeMeo to a Trevor Constable Enthusiast

Revised with references, September 2016

To: -----
From: James DeMeo, PhD
Subject: Constable and IHR / Nazis
Date: 4 May 2002

Dear -----,

You ask why I do not wish to have any working relationship with Trevor James Constable. Right off, to the best of my knowledge, he does not “work” anymore, but merely pontificates from his home, in retirement. We had our correspondence years back, and I know his writings in depth and detail. Quite frankly I don’t want contact with him, for reasons you probably cannot know.

I learned of Constable’s work from two articles he wrote for the *Journal of Orgonomy* in 1971-1972, mentioned below, but had no communications with him until the late 1970s and 1980s, when I was a professor teaching Earth and Atmospheric Science at Illinois State University, and later the University of Miami. Being interested in cloudbusting since youth, I purchased whatever books or documents he wrote and made available. But at some point, notably after Constable’s open embrace of Rudolf Steiner’s anthroposophy in 1976, I became unsettled with his claims and ideas, and was restrained in my communications with him. After moving to California in 1989, I met Constable’s associate Thomas Brown, and became more familiar with his technical methods, finding them unscientifically constructed, with additional misrepresentations of Reich’s original findings. I later broke all communications with him after learning of his neo-Nazi sympathies, described below.

What I am going to tell you here has already been published, and I’ve also already confronted Constable with it, so there is no “gossip behind the back” in my relating these facts to you. I may publish them in the future.

By my knowledge, Constable was once a promising investigator and advocate of Reich’s organomic science, but around the mid 1970s, he collapsed into what I view as a dark mystical fascism. This was reflected not only in his trashing Reich’s work in favor of the mystic and unscientific theories of Rudolf Steiner, but also to join up with a notorious group of neo-Nazis and Holocaust deniers, attending their conferences and writing articles and enthusiastic letters for their publications. But even before that, he had exposed himself as a mystic sadist in various ways, and this was reflected in his abuse of Reich’s cloudbuster device. By what I learned from private contacts, published accounts, and from his own writings, he was power drunk, and used the cloudbuster to act out unresolved personal issues, expressing grandiosity and an admiration for powerful lightning storms that followed his work. And he wasn’t a particularly competent cloudbuster operator, his publications making very large claims with little or no documentation or evidence to back them up. At the end, he was so ineffective with cloudbusting that he began using multiple dozens of his “revised” devices, to get the same effects a person trained in Reich’s approach could get with one. I’ll document these serious charges which, as I say, I’ve already confronted him about.

Constable's Early Work, and Later Open Embrace of Rudolf Steinerism

Constable's early work with the Reich cloudbuster was rationally undertaken and published in standard empirical form, relating the situation he faced with drought, detailing what he did, and the results. Two of his decent articles appeared in the *Journal of Orgonomy* ("Operation Backwash", 5(2):192-204, 1971; "Operation Kooler", 6(1):84-97, 1972), and for a time he charmed and gained the confidence of the leadership in the American College of Orgonomy (ACO). But behind the scenes, he was erratic and masked a sadistic energy.

In 1971 for example Constable wrote a letter to Jerome Eden, advocating to "*Take the Orgone by the balls and it will talk. Any other approach and it laughs at you!*" (Letter from T. Constable to J. Eden, 1 Sept. 1971, quoted in Eden, *CORE Manual* 1986, p.26). Eden was one of the most effective of the early cloudbuster operators, who remained fiercely loyal to the totality of Reich's research findings. He's got several books, sometimes can be found used on Amazon.com or eBay. I found Constable's words shocking, as it went entirely against everything Reich had written, and against my own approach to orgonomy. Eva Reich, the daughter of Wilhelm Reich, called Constable "power-drunk", confirming what Eden had written. (Personal communication to J. Eden, in Letter from J. Eden to EF Baker 28 Dec. 1976, in *Sincerely, Elsworth Baker: The Correspondence of Elsworth F. Baker and Jerome Eden*, 1988, p.136.) In some of his projects, he appears to have created major lightningstorms and deluges in the Los Angeles region, where in one case a half-dozen people were struck by lightning, and in another several deaths. While that may perhaps be an inevitable difficulty associated with severe and already death-dealing drought situations, it was alarming to read how Constable boasted of his "potency" in those cases, and published photos of the lightning storms, as if scaring the beejeebies out of the local people was a good thing.

Other large or small controversies developed around Constable in the early 1970s, He began naming his cloudbuster devices after insects and military weapons, and was revising Reich's original findings to make them conform to Rudolf Steiner's anthroposophy. For one small example, he declared that the Cloudbuster "shoots" energy, rather than draws it in, thereby casting contempt upon Reich's findings on the orgonotic potential and other related aspects of his atmospheric science. He also claimed the orgone energy was one of Steiner's "etheric formative forces". Eden consistently warned the leaders of the ACO about Constable, but his warnings basically fell on deaf ears until the publication of Constable's book, *Cosmic Pulse of Life* in 1976. In that work, Constable fully unmasked and publicly broke with Reich and the Reichians.

Cosmic Pulse of Life

In *Cosmic Pulse of Life* (1976), Constable exposed his rejection of Reich's original orgonomic science, and expressed his primary loyalties to the mystic Rudolf Steiner, whose universe was thick with angels and demons. For Constable, conjured up "Ahrimanic forces" (invisible demons) stood behind drought and other bad things in the world. Constable fully submerged Reich's ideas into the Steiner universe. While he mentioned Reich very frequently in his

Cosmic Pulse of Life, just when you think he'd be "getting it right", he'd slide off into deep metaphysics and other-worldly nonsense. This is why I say Constable made a train wreck out of Reich's work.

Eden wrote a detailed scathing review of the Constable book for the *Journal of Orgonomy* which exposes more about Constable's distortions than I can relate here:

<http://www.orgonelab.org/EdenConstable.pdf>

Cosmic Pulse did not go into Constable's work with the cloudbuster to any extent, mostly being a book on Steiner, Reich, Ruth Drown and Radionics, UFOs, "sky critters" and other such things, all thrown into a mix-master and poured out on pages. Here's a webpage from Constable's old company, last updated in 2008. Lots of praise for Steiner, and not a single mention of Reich. Perhaps that's good.

http://www.rainengineering.com/ether/ether_research.html

Be aware, the Steinerian "ethers" have no connection whatsoever to the cosmic ether of space, as postulated by 19th- and early 20th Century physics as a medium for transmission of light waves. I've already written supporting scientific articles on this older idea of a cosmic ether-energy in space, including about confirmations of its existence.

<http://www.orgonelab.org/miller.htm>

<http://www.orgonelab.org/DynamicEther.pdf>

In spite of these problems, or because of them, Constable's *Cosmic Pulse* was lapped up by many hungry mystics for whom Reich's original work was too strong of a brew. A lot of others also were diverted away from Reich, often out of laughing disbelief, but wrongly thinking Constable was accurately reporting Reich's views. Many young people were also diverted away from a serious scientific study of Reich's orgonomy, into the spirit- and demon-infested netherworld of Steiner's Anthroposophy.

"Sky Critters" disproven

Cosmic Pulse of Life also included Constable's infrared photographs of "invisible bio-forms" or "sky critters" in the atmosphere. These objects looked rather like dark spots or huge transparent ameba on the film emulsion, suggesting film artifacts, but Constable was adamant they were real "invisible" organisms zipping around in the sky. I tried his photographic method to document these "atmospheric things" -- black and white IR film, Wratten #87 filter that shields out all visible light but allows IR to pass through -- and got a few similar objects on my films. But in control experiments, I could get the same images by wrapping the entire camera in several wraps of aluminum foil, which would shield out all visible and IR frequencies. The "sky critter" theory was eventually disproven definitively in an experiment by Andrew Collins, using a stereo-image camera, which takes two photos of the same film frame, using two separate lenses on the same camera box. This provides a dual-image perspective view of things which, when viewed through a stereo viewer, gives everything a nice 3-D appearance. In Collins' stereo camera images, using the same method of Constable, there also were the "ameba" dark blobs on the film, but not in the same locations or sizes or relative positions from one half of the

stereo image to the other. This proved, the claimed “bioforms” were really IR artifacts occurring inside the camera, or already present in the IR film before the photos were taken. Had the “blobs” been real things moving around in front of the camera lenses, they would have been identically duplicated in locations and numbers on both sides of a given stereo pair of images. And that was not the case. (A. Collins, *Alien Energy*, 1994, p.197. Also see DeMeo, Editor, *Heretic's Notebook*, "Negative Finding on T. Constable's 'Bioforms'", p.250, 2002.)
<http://www.amazon.com/Heretics-Notebook-Protocells-Ether-Drift-Life-Energy/dp/0980231655/>

This was a shocker for Constable, whose embrace of Steiner had been borne from mystical adulation, but so he thought, affirmed and supported by his previously inexplicable “bioform” images. This situation reminded me of an incident when I gave a glow-in-the-dark frisbee to my 12-year old cousin. She was staggered by the gift, literally brought to tears, which made no sense. I asked her what was wrong, and she showed me a small plastic statue of the Virgin Mary, which was made from the same glow-in-the-dark material! She had thought the glowing Zinc Sulfide in the Mother Mary statue was a holy radiance or similar, and that glowing statue had been mystifying her in a deep emotional way. To see the glowing frisbee crashed down her mysticism. Constable seems to have stopped mentioning the bio-forms after we published Collin’s photos in our publication, but I’m not sure about it.

Loom of the Future?

Constable’s second book on the cloudbusting issue was *Loom of the Future* (1994), where he presented much of the “cloudbusting” work he had undertaken in his post-orgonomy period. The text was an interview of Constable with Thomas Brown, former Editor for the *Journal of Borderland Sciences*, who also published this book. *Borderland Sciences* also had originally published many of Constable’s papers and reports, and I was a subscriber so got to review them in full. I met Brown once, around 1990 after I moved to California, and knew him as a more down-to-earth investigator. However, in the mid-1980s, Brown asked me to review a few of Constable’s research papers on rain-making, which I did. While I was always open to communications with both Constable and Brown, I also was brutally honest with both of them as regarding what I felt about the mystical distortions inherent in Steinerism, and the incompatibility between the Steiner doctrine and Reich’s scientific works. So when asked to do so, I put on my professor’s hat, and reviewed the new Constable papers that Brown sent to me. In doing so, I spotted serious problems in the documentation which invalidated the conclusions, and told them about it. Brown exploded at me for it, and after fielding a few upsetting letters, our contacts ended. Last I heard he had moved to New Zealand.

I’ll give specific details on Constable’s individual projects, but will summarize to say the major problem with his work was how he frequently was operating at times when pre-existing weather conditions suggested decent rains were going to happen anyhow. He also failed to document the pre-existing conditions of claimed drought, such that whatever rains occurred upon his arrival were claimed as the consequences of his work. I was also annoyed to discover that, while he always reported the use of his smaller and new whirly-gig devices, in the background he was always using what looked to be “old-fashioned” Reich devices, just with new kinds of

configurations, but with the same principles unchanged. This can be seen on a few of his photographs in *Loom of the Future*. He also took some things from Reich but never gave credit, such as his “sky cannon” or “bazooka” devices, a kind of tubular orgone accumulator he would strap to the roof of his car, and then drive down the road creating strange atmospheric reactions. Reich had noted this back in the 1950s and wrote about it, how simply transporting a large cloudbuster down the road, ungrounded, would agitate the atmosphere sufficiently to sometimes kick up a storm. I personally witnessed this myself, when transporting my large cloudbuster Icarus from the Midwest to the Southwestern USA. *Loom of the Future* also contained a slightly more rational presentation of the cosmic ether, using some of the older 19th Century language. He appeared to be reading my own research papers on that subject, which I had been publishing as early as 1979. See those weblinks above. Anyhow, Constable didn't credit me for what he learned on the cosmic ether, anymore than he credited Reich for things he took from him, except through the distorted lens of Steinerism.

Constable's Specific Weather Projects

I don't have a complete record of Constable's publications, but did get copies of one of his VHS videos years ago, and also reports on two of his (claimed) most effective and seriously documented operations. Here's my critique of these materials, as once requested from me by Thomas Brown, one of Constable's closest associates and supporters in the USA.

Etheric Engineering on the High Seas - VHS (older version: *Weather Engineering on the USS Maui*) this is an entertaining video from the early 1980s, where he used his new smaller rain-making “gadgets” on the top decks while cruising across the Pacific Ocean on the USS Maui, where he worked as a radio officer. It was an upbeat, cheery video, nicely done, very entertaining, but when reviewed from a critical scientific viewpoint, the claims collapsed. The video showed the ship sailing from clear waters into weather-fronts, and he claimed to have made those weather fronts by his small rotating devices, placed on the ship's deck, or sometimes below-decks. For support he showed a weather map in the video. But that weathermap only showed how the ship was sailing from high pressure towards lower pressure, and hence, towards a region of predictably increasing clouds and rains. Also nothing was shown of the periods before the operations, so one could not tell how long the weather front had been there. To the critical eye, it appeared the ship was travelling through a natural progression of rain-dry-rain-dry showers, where the video camera was put into operations above deck, and by which videos of increasing sequential rainshowers was guaranteed. His claim of having produced those storms from onship, using some new rotating and spinning gizmos from the size of a coffee-cup to some things a meter across, and out of a clear sky, was given no serious proofs and was only anecdotal, not a controlled or scientifically-constructed evaluation by any measure. On the open ocean it is frequently the case that small clouds will grow and dump rains, then dissipate while others grow and dissipate, sometimes in bands with open stretches of clear weather in between. That kind of chaotic condition was not a good one for making scientifically-based evaluations of a cloudbuster, because one could anticipate some kind of natural weather to develop if you merely waited long enough. But to the casual observer, it looked pretty good.

Project Tango: Rain Engineering in the Singapore Dry Season, July 1988 (Borderland Sciences Journal, Sept-Oct 1989). This project reported on Constable's invited work into that nation in July 1988. However, there was no documentation provided on the pre-existing weather conditions, and the overall climatic situation was misrepresented. It was identified as an effort to bring "summertime rains in the dry season". But Singapore does not have a clearly defined "dry season". It is identified on climate maps as a "Tropical forest climate, constantly moist, rainfall all through the year" (for example, see *Goode's World Atlas*). There is only a small seasonal variation in rains at that location, with a total of from 7 to 8 inches of rain per month (~150mm) over the period February through October, to encompass the June-July-August summer months. Those amounts are averages over many years. November, December and January get more than this, up to 12 inches in December. So the definition of "dry" is quite relative, but in fact every month is very wet by most standards. Singapore is located nearly on the Equator, and has little variation in rains or temperature.

During his 5-day operations, Constable noted that the downtown Singapore rain gauge received a total of 114.8 mm (or 4.5 inches), with another of 68.3 mm (2.7 inches) for nearby Singapore reservoir. That is a good amount of rain, even if it stretched over five days, the downtown quantity being about a third of the expected monthly amount for that station. But is still within reasonably normal parameters for a tropical rainforest biome. I could not judge more than this, because none of his equipment was described sufficiently to know if it was really something that is proven to work. His paper included reproductions of radar images from Singapore Meteorological Services which also were impressive. However, as was typical of his work, *no details were presented about the pre-operations rains or other conditions*. Since the whole issue of a "dry season" was misinterpreted, one must ask what else might have been misinterpreted.

He may have brought an increase in rainfall, but one cannot tell this from the information presented. The radar maps and rains are in keeping with expected natural rainfall for that area, where the pulses of natural rainfall occur daily, or only a few days apart. It is therefore meaningless to point to a non-existent precipitation 'dry season' for comparisons in this case. If he wished to give significance to his experiment, Constable should have provided measured daily rainfall data from Singapore for the weeks prior to, during, and after his 1988 operations, and demonstrate that the region was previously suffering from a lower period of rains for the "before operations" days. From the viewpoint of climate, however, nothing unusual appears to have occurred in Singapore in July of 1988.

Operation Pincher II: July Rain Engineering, Los Angeles 1986 (Borderland Sciences Journal, Jan-Feb 1987). In this project, Constable and his team set up four working stations in the regions around Los Angeles and San Diego, at a very dry time of year when rains were not anticipated. His team employed some of his new devices and older "weather guns", at least some of which are known to be water-grounded by the "old fashioned" Reichian methods. They were supplemented by use of his automobile-mounted devices, his "car guns", driven up and down the nearby freeways. In one photo, of apparatus at the Hatfield location of his operations, there were 13 different 10-12 inch "cloudbusting guns" in use. With four different stations, if each reproduced that array, it would be a total of 62 different devices, which would be a record of some sort. Even so, he could not produce a result even half as good as one cloudbuster operator using Reich's original designs and knowledge.

The project began with work over the July 4th weekend, with a second phase ending on July 15th (no details about when that started). A third phase was undertaken on July 22-23rd. By his account, the region experienced severe thunder and lightning storms that dropped at least some rain. The article published many photos of eyepopping lightning storms on the evening of 15 July and 23 July, 1986. The only documentation about rains, however, was a newspaper report from the *Times-Advocate* of Escondido, 23 July, where a 1.55 “rainfall was recorded at the high-altitude Mt. Laguna, at 5738’ elevation. Lower altitudes received far less, Escondido getting 0.09” and Poway 0.03”. Those latter rains were negligible, and would have evaporated away quickly. Only the Mt. Laguna total was unusual. Six people were reported struck by lightning, something which Constable appeared unconcerned about, though he did publish five full pages of such lightning, all with glorifying commentary.

This alarmed me as a kind of megalomania, “playing god” and without concerns for the dangers of such massive lightning in that region. Southern California suffers from so many wildfires in summer, generally created by lightning strikes. It is also an expression of failure in operational methods, to firstly soften up the DOR before a large energy potential is created. If the DOR is firstly neutralized, one tends to get strong rains of a longer soaking duration, without heavy lightning, or only cloud-to-cloud lightning. But Constable had by then given up on Reich’s “old fashioned” (to him) cloudbusting methods.

Another problem was, why try to create rains in a region at the time of a natural dry season? The entire economic and agricultural situation is set up to benefit from the natural dry period. Predominant crops are of the Mediterranean variety, olives, oranges, etc., and the whole of California agriculture is so named a “Mediterranean climate type” by climatologists. Fall, Winter and Spring rains are most propitious for these regions, not summer rains, which interrupts the flowering and maturing of fruits, setting of seeds. So while it appears this operation of Constable was “successful” it is overshadowed by problems of being out-of-season and characterized by far more intensive lightning than rains. What was the point? It comes off as grand-standing, and Constable’s write up matches this interpretation, very boasting and even arrogantly proud about the heavy lightning. Constable was by then also using an immense number cloudbusting devices concentrated into a small region to get his “effects” (suggestive of his sadistic “grabbing the orgone by the balls” approach), far beyond anything I or my associates would consider necessary. And the success rate of my own operations is today a matter of published record. (See the summary section here: <http://www.orgonelab.org/demeopubsPDFs/2100WaterJourDeMeo.pdf>)

Slumming and Schmoozing with Nazis

As to the neo-Nazi stuff, this is documented in several weblinks that I’ve listed below. Starting in 1971, Constable had written or co-authored books on the subject of World War II military history, glorifying Nazi “ace” fighter pilots. While the books appear to be factual in content, I cannot know what was omitted from mention, given what the Luftwaffe did in WW2 in the way of strafing and bombing of civilian targets, the “blitz” saturation bombings of Warsaw, London, Coventry, Rotterdam, etc. -- and thereby setting up a situation for the ferocious retaliatory bombing of their own cities.

Works on military history by Trevor Constable (with Col. Raymond Toliver)

* *The Blond Knight of Germany - a biography of Erich Hartmann*, 1971

* *Horrido: Fighter Aces of the Luftwaffe*, 1979

* *Fighter General - The Life of Adolf Galland*, 1990

These works were followed in the 1990s by Constable's published items in the *Journal of Historical Review* (JHR), the main publication of the *Institute for Historical Review* (IHR), which is the English-speaking world's primary Nazi-sympathizing, Holocaust-denial Jew-hate group. It maintains cozy relations with some of the worst Jew-hate and white-supremacist organizations (Liberty Lobby, Carto Institute, Zundlesite) and openly neo-Nazi groups worldwide. This is seen in the internet linkages and articles published in their "Journal", and the subjects and names of speakers at their conferences.

After being an invited lecturer to an IHR conference in 1991, Constable wrote a self-damning letter which was published in the JHR under the header "Authentic Heroes". In it, he praised a list of despicable characters who are a veritable who's-who of leading American Holocaust deniers, historical-falsifiers and Jew-haters. He specifically praised David Irving, Fred Leuchter, and Robert Faurisson, among others. In case you don't know, Irving's books are well known falsified histories that quote from Gobbels as if he was a truth-teller, and blame Churchill and Roosevelt for starting WW2 -- as if Hitler's decision to invade Austria, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Belgium and France, and later the Soviet Union was forced upon him by the English-speaking democracies. Leuchter and Faurisson wrote books denying the Nazi death-camps existed, and claiming the Holocaust was a hoax, launched by Jews and English-speakers. See here:

* Letter: "Authentic Heroes", *Journal of Historical Review*, V.13, No.3, May-June 1993, p.46-47. <http://vho.org/GB/Journals/JHR/13/index.html>

I repeat the full letter here, so as to be fully clear about Constable's views, and that I am not inventing anything.

"Authentic Heroes"

The October 1992 IHR Conference was an outstanding experience. What a staggering contrast between the reality of this gathering of decent, gentle, scholarly people, and the denigrating, abusive depictions in the media of those who pursue historical truth.

That anyone should be able willfully to harm such mild and kindly folk, and get away with it, verifies the degeneration of America under current misrule and political corruption. We live in times where the perverse is being institutionalized as normal in every phase of life, so that the individual who seeks truth in history is deemed deranged. Lies are taught and accepted in institutions of higher learning, where historical truth is resisted. Citizens must embrace falsehood and fraud if they wish to be considered "respectable." Facts are buried under congenial legends.

A large percentage of my life has been devoted to writing books about the fighter aces who are revered by the world aviation community. As a result of these labors, I know something about heroism. Therefore, I say that brave gentlemen like Jerry Brentar, Fred Leuchter, Robert Faurisson, David Irving and the others who graced your Conference podium are authentic heroes

of the central struggle of this age -the fight for truth and justice.

Consider the sacrifices of such heroic men, the economic price they have paid and continue to pay, and the harassments and risks of violence and even murder that they run on a continuous basis. No hero that I ever wrote about in any of my flying books ranks higher in the pantheon than the heroes of revisionist historical research. Theirs is a sustained courage in the face of murderous drives to suppress truth. Corrupted and subverted state powers in every part of the world now permit victimization of these revisionists, with justice often suspended. I look on the courage of these gentlemen with the deepest reverence. The IHR is to be congratulated for its own sustained courage in providing them with a forum. Trevor J. Constable Hauula, Hawaii “

The above weblink for this letter does not any more reproduce it, but at least you can see the index listing of that entry. I found the full copy of it, reproduced above, at another website supporting the Holocaust denier “expert”, Fred Leuchter.

<http://codoh.com/library/document/2428/>

Constable’s early “Authentic Heroes” letter was followed by two other publications in the JHR, in 1999. He was quite proud of them, and in early 2001 Constable sent me an unsolicited copy of his military-history paper on “Bagnold’s Bluff”. The article itself was reasonable, dealing with WW-II military history in a fairly straightforward manner, and did not openly lionize the Nazis as his earlier letter and books had done. But at this time I did not know anything about Constable’s neo-Nazi interests. The appearance of his military-history article in the JHR was the first indication I had, and it shocked me, as I knew plenty about the Institute for Historical Review from my prior study of the neo-Nazi movement. See here:

* "They Call Him HoBo: The Percy Hobart Story", *Journal of Historical Review*, V.18 No.1, Jan-Feb 1999; http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v18/v18n1p-2_Constable.html
<http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v18/v18index.html>

* "Bagnold's Bluff", *Journal of Historical Review*, V.18, No.2, March-April 1999;
http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v18/v18n2p-6_Constable.html

Constable's article on Bagnold was originally celebrated by the "Long Range Desert Group" (LRDG), a society of UK war veterans from the North African campaigns against Rommel, which were led by Col. Bagnold. At the LRDG web site, they link the Constable article, but also include a disclaimer, as the article is posted to the IRH web site, which they know to be composed of pro-Nazi Holocaust-denial materials. For example, the LRDG web site qualified its reference to the Constable article with the following statement:

"By linking to an article on the pages of the Institute for Historical Review, I by no means want to propagate the Institute's revisionist views on history. As a matter of fact, I think every IHR contributor should be made to endure all the atrocities they so vigorously want to deny. How an article on one of Britain's war heroes ended up being published next to texts like "Did six million really die?" or "The Zionist Terror Network" is beyond me - your guess is as good as mine. However, Constable's article, which draws mainly from interviews with the man himself, offers invaluable insight into LRDG's early days and is highly recommended."

<http://www.lrdg.de/links.htm>

Anyhow, you can get more information on the people Constable heaps praises upon in his "Authentic Heroes" letter, by consulting Deborah Lipstadt's book *Denying the Holocaust*, Richard Evans' *Lying About Hitler*, or any number of books confronting the leadership of the neo-Nazis, Holocaust-revisionists and falsifiers of history.

For the record, I wrote a book review of Lipstadt in 1993, well before I learned of Constable's associations with the IHR, reposted here:

<http://www.orgonelab.org/demeopubsPDFs/1993DenyingHolocaust.htm>

You can also search the index for the *Journal of Historical Review*, to confirm for yourself all the alarming articles contained in that same publication where Constable's articles appear.

<http://www.ihr.org/jhr/v18/v18index.html>

And, if you have the stomach for it, just review their website in full, which shows their true colors. <http://www.ihr.org>

And finally, here's an excellent site exposing the neo-Nazi and Holocaust denial activities of the IHR (above):

<http://www.nizkor.org/faqs/ihr/index.html>

Apparently Constable did not know about my anti-Nazi (and anti-Communist) sentiments, or he probably would not have enthusiastically sent me copies of his IHR papers.

During a follow-up email exchange, I confronted Constable with the above facts about the IHR, and he wrote back quickly defending them, as a "reputable" group of kindly people. I then wrote him a longer letter, presented evidence to him of their intensive Jew-hatred, Hitler-worshipping and Holocaust-denial activities, but he never responded. The links above will at least provide evidence on his connections to that group. Keep in mind, Constable was defending those who admire the Nazis and Hitler, and who try to bend historical facts in favor of their ideology... an ideology that launched WW2 and massacred 11 million civilians in death camps, with overall a 30-million death toll, and the widespread destruction in Europe. And this from a guy who prided himself for his contacts with American military men. Constable's behavior in all these examples was reprehensible. To recapitulate:

- * the early distortions of Reich's ideas and work,
- * mystifying Reich into the Steiner cult,
- * making big claims about results from cloudbusting where defensible scientific proof was never provided,
- * and finally, publicly praising and hob-nobbing with neo-Nazis

Nevertheless, I'm content to leave Mr. Constable to his retirement, unmolested, though one could argue he deserves a long overdue public discreditation. He could have kept his work clean of the mystical distortions, and guided a lot of young people to Reich, instead of to the unproductive and time-wasting mysticism of Steiner. He might have made the long-desired breakthrough into the popular mind also, had he stayed close to Reich, and not tried to present

Steinerian cult-language into atmospheric science. Unfortunately, he didn't.

I will still occasionally reference his two rational early articles in the *Journal of Orgonomy*, but beyond that, I cannot acknowledge him or give his work any support or credibility. I send this letter to you and a select few others privately only because I feel we all should be aware of the hidden backgrounds, emotional instabilities and deceitful motivations of some of the people who are engaged in "cloudbusting" work.

Sincerely,
James DeMeo, PhD

Director, Orgone Biophysical Research Lab
Ashland, Oregon, USA
<http://www.orgonelab.org>